

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 AMELIA TYAGI,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 HEIDRICK & STRUGGLES, Inc.,
14 BUSINESS TALENT GROUP, LLC,
15 and DAN RYAN; DOES 1 to 100,
inclusive,

16 Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01113-SPG-MAA

**ORDER GRANTING JOINT
STIPULATION REGARDING
DISMISSAL OF INDIVIDUAL
DEFENDANT DAN RYAN
[ECF NO. 58]**

17

18 Before the Court is the parties' Joint Stipulation Regarding Dismissal of
19 Individual Defendant Dan Ryan (ECF No. 58 ("Stipulation")). Having considered
20 the Stipulation, and finding good cause therefor, the Court GRANTS the Stipulation
21 and ORDERS as follows:

- 22 1. Individual Defendant Dan Ryan ("Dismissed Individual") is dismissed
23 from this action with prejudice;
- 24 2. Defendants shall produce the Dismissed Individual at trial;
- 25 3. Should there be an agreement or an order to produce the witness for further
26 deposition for any reason, Defendants shall produce the Dismissed
27 Individual for deposition, subject to argument that there is no basis for
28 another day of deposition;

- 1 4. No party will be deemed the prevailing party for the purpose of the
- 2 stipulation and dismissal;
- 3 5. Defendants shall waive fees and costs associated with the dismissal;
- 4 6. Defendants will not engage in any efforts to remove, or otherwise change
- 5 the venue in this matter;
- 6 7. The Dismissed Individual may be examined by Plaintiff at trial under Rule
- 7 611 of the Federal Rules of Evidence; and
- 8 8. Defendants shall not make any reference at trial that the Dismissed
- 9 Individual was a defendant who was subsequently dismissed.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11

12 Dated: May 8, 2025

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



HON. SHERILYN PEACE GARNETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE